

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,007	10/15/2008	Srinivas Gutta	US040001	8557
24737 7590 0401/2010 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			LEE, MICHAEL	
BRIARCLIFF	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/584,007 GUTTA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit M. Lee -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 June 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 15-17 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-6,18 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2, 7-14, 19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/584,007

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

 In the claims, bracket symbol "[]" must not be used because it indicates cancellation of material inside the bracket. A parenthesis should be used instead.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1, 3-6, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atsumi (JP409134488A).

Regarding claim 1, Atsumi discloses an extracting step (5), and a thresholding step (8). However, Atsumi does not indicate the video signal is a color signal. Instead, he is silent on whether the camera 1 is a color or black and white camera. In any event, based on the given disclosure, it would have been obvious that the camera 1 could be any conventional camera. The selection of the camera type would have been a matter of obvious design choice. When a color camera is choose, the system would be modified to detect color components instead of luminance component.

Regarding claim 3, Atsumi detects the average luminance signal. But, as stated above, it would have been obvious to change it to average color signal.

Regarding claims 4-5, Atsumi extracts video information from an image region and the light source 7 broadcasts light to that region.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,007

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claim 6, Atsumi does not disclose the first and second threshold as claimed. Instead, Atsumi uses only one threshold level to activate or deactivate the light source 7. However, using multiple threshold level instead of a single level is well known in the art because the former provides a more stable output, and thus the Official Notice is taken. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Atsumi to use at least two threshold levels so that a more stable output could be achieved.

Regarding claims 18 and 20, see corresponding rejections above.

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 15-17 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 2, 7-14 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sato (7,262,813) shows a video signal controlled light source.

Takeuchi (JP406076958A) shows a color space transforming step for controlling a light source.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to M. Lee whose telephone number 571-272-7349. The
examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9 to 6.

Art Unit: 2622

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran, can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/M. Lee/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2622